

Ray Mateo, Esq. (Atty. No. 003372010)
Richard Spatola, Esq. (Atty. No. 357262021)
Corey Danielle Repasy, Esq. (Atty. No. 372392021)

CALCAGNI & KANEFSKY LLP

One Newark Center
1085 Raymond Boulevard, 18th Floor
Newark, New Jersey 07102
Tel.: (862) 397-1796
Email: RMateo@ck-litigation.com
Email: RSpatola@ck-litigation.com
Email: CRepsy@ck-litigation.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant Amy Lin-Rodriguez

PREPARED AND FILED BY THE COURT
JANUARY 28TH 2026
HON. KALIMAH H. AHMAD

JOSEPH M. MUNIZ,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,

vs.

HUDSON COUNTY SCHOOLS OF TECHNOLOGY
BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Defendant/Cross-Claim Defendant,

AND

AMY LIN-RODRIGUEZ, both individually and as the
Superintendent of the Hudson County Schools of
Technology,

Defendant/Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant,

AND

JOHN MINELLA, both individually and in his official
capacity; GERALDINE PEREZ, both individually and
in her official capacity; JOSEPH COSSOLINI, both
individually and in his official capacity; DENISE
D’ALESSANDRO, both individually and in her official
capacity; MONICA FUNDORA, both individually and
in her official capacity; ADAM PARKINSON, both
individually and in his official capacity; ARTHUR
PETTIGREW, both individually and in his official
capacity; BARBARA STAMATO, both individually and
in her official capacity; DR. JOSEPH SIRANGELO,
both individually and in his official capacity; and JOHN
DOES AND/OR JANE DOES 1-25, the said name(s)
being fictitious,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: HUDSON COUNTY

Docket No. HUD-L-4587-24

Civil Action

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
AMY LIN-RODRIGUEZ’S
MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFF’S AMENDED
COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE
PURSUANT TO RULE 4:23-5(a)(2)
AND RULE 4:23-2(b)(3)

GRANTED

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Calcagni & Kanefsky, LLP, attorneys for Amy Lin-Rodriguez (“Defendant”), by way of a Motion to Dismiss Joseph M. Muniz’s (“Plaintiff’s”) December 18, 2024, Amended Complaint with prejudice under Rule 4:23-5(a)(1) and Rule 4:23-2(b)(3), and the Court having considered all papers submitted and the oral argument of counsel, and for good cause shown,

IT IS on this 28TH day of January, ²⁰²⁶~~2025~~, **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Defendant’s motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint with prejudice under Rule 4:23-5(a)(2) and Rule 4:23-2(b)(3) is hereby **GRANTED**.
2. Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint is hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
3. Service of this Order shall be deemed effectuated once electronically filed by the Court through eCourts.

Kalimah H. Ahmad

Hon. Kalimah H. Ahmad, J.S.C.

This motion was:
 Opposed
 Unopposed

GRANTED for the reasons set forth in the Court's decision denying the Plaintiff's cross-motion bearing LCV20253306930