#### IMPORTANT REMINDER

You have recently filed a complaint in the Law Division, Hudson County. Enclosed please find a copy of the complaint marked "Filed" and the Track Assignment Notice (TAN). Please be sure to use the assigned docket number on all future pleadings, correspondence, etc.

You are reminded of the following:

 $\underline{R}$ .4:4-1. requires that the summons is to be issued within 15 days from the date of the Track Assignment Notice.

R.4:4-7. requires that "proof of service" shall (mandatory) be promptly filed with the court within the time during which the person served must respond (35 days) by the person making service or by the party on whose behalf service is made.

"Proof of Service" should be filed with the Judge/Team indicated on the Tan.

Please carefully read, understand and follow <u>R.</u>4:24-1., Time for Completion of Discovery, and <u>R.</u> 4:24-2., Motions Required to Be Made During Discovery Period.

Always be aware of the operative Discovery End Date (DED) for your case. If in doubt, you can contact the Team indicated on the TAN or this information may be found on the Judiciary's website homepage at <a href="https://www.njcourtsonline.com">www.njcourtsonline.com</a> under the heading "civil discovery end date search."

Mary K. Costello Presiding Judge Civil Division HUDSON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT HUDSON COUNTY 583 NEWARK AVENUE JERSEY CITY NJ 07306

TRACK ASSIGNMENT NOTICE

COURT TELEPHONE NO. (201) 217-5162 COURT HOURS 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM

DATE:

AUGUST 13, 2014

RE:

YOUSSEF VS JERSEY CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION E

T AL

AT:

DOCKET: HUD L -003496 14

THE ABOVE CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO: TRACK 3.

DISCOVERY IS 450 DAYS AND RUNS FROM THE FIRST ANSWER OR 90 DAYS FROM SERVICE ON THE FIRST DEFENDANT, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST.

THE PRETRIAL JUDGE ASSIGNED IS: HON JOSEPH A. TURULA

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, CONTACT TEAM 002 (201) 795-6908.

IF YOU BELIEVE THAT THE TRACK IS INAPPROPRIATE YOU MUST FILE A CERTIFICATION OF GOOD CAUSE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE FILING OF YOUR PLEADING.

PLAINTIFF MUST SERVE COPIES OF THIS FORM ON ALL OTHER PARTIES IN ACCORDANGE
WITH R. 4: 5A-2.

ATTENTION:

ATT: ROBERT M. ANDERSON
ESCANDON FERNICOLA ANDERSON&CO
301 MAIN STREET STE 3
2ND FLOOR
ALLENHURST NJ 07711

ALLENHUR

JUJSTA1

ESCANDON, FERNICOLA, ANDERSON & COVELLI 301 Main Street Allenhurst, NJ 07711 Tel. # (732) 663-1920 + Fax (732) 663-0011 Attorneys for Plaintiff



Robert M. Anderson, Esq. I.D. # 037041985

NABIL YOUSSEF,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

VS.

JERSEY CITY BOARD OF
EDUCATION, individually and/or its
agents, servants and/or employees,
CHERYLE RICHARDSON-EVANS,
Principal; MONICA PATEL, Vice
Principal; DR. MARCIA LYLES,
Superintendent; CHRIS GADSDEN,
Vice Principal of Freshman Building;
ELLEN RUANE, Associate
Superintendent Secondary Division
and JOHN DOE SUPERVISORS 1-10,
individually and/or as agents, servants
and/or employees of JERSEY CITY
BOARD OF EDUCATION,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – COUNTY OF MONMOUTH

DOCKET NO.: \

**CIVIL ACTION** 

-003492-14

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, NABIL YOUSSEF, residing at 210 Bartholdi Avenue in the township of Jersey City, County of Hudson and State of New Jersey, by way of Complaint against the Defendant(s) says:

#### STATEMENT OF CASE

 Plaintiff, Nabil Youssef is an Arab American citizen in the city of Jersey City, County of Hudson and State of New Jersey

- 2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the Plaintiff, Nabil Youssef was and is an employee of Defendant Jersey City Board of Education at Lincoln High School and the Freshmen Building of Lincoln High School. Plaintiff was and is an employee as defined by the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination, N.J.S.A.. §10:5-5
- 3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendant Jersey City Board of Education was a public entity and employer of Plaintiff, Nabil Youssef, as defined by the <u>New Jersey Law Against Discrimination</u>, N.J.S.A.. §10:5-5 and had the authority to direct and control the work performance and working conditions of the Plaintiff.
- 4. Defendant Jersey City Board of Education was and is responsible for overseeing the academic progress in the Jersey City public school district.
- 5. Defendant Cheryle Richardson-Evans is the Principal of Lincoln High School, who had the authority to control and direct the actions of Plaintiff.
- 6. Defendant Monica Patel is the Vice Principal of Lincoln High School, who in conjunction with the other Defendants had the authority to control and direct the actions of Plaintiff.
- 7. Defendant Dr. Marcia Lyles is the Superintendent of Jersey City Board of Education, who in conjunction with the other Defendants had the authority to control and direct the actions of Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendant Chris Gadsden is the Vice Principal of the Freshman Building at Lincoln High School, who in conjunction with the other Defendants had the authority to control and direct the actions of Plaintiff.
- 9. Defendant Ellen Ruane is the Associate Superintendent Secondary Division, who had the authority to control and direct the actions of Plaintiff.

# FIRST COUNT- VIOLATIONS OF CONSCIENTIOUS EMPLOYEE PROTECTION ACT (CEPA)

- Defendant Jersey City Board of Education is, and at all times mentioned in this
  complaint was a public entity and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its
  principal place of business located at 346 Claremont Ave, Jersey City, NJ 07305.
- 2. At all times hereinafter mentioned the Plaintiff, Youssef Nabil, was a Teacher at Lincoln High School employed by Defendant Jersey City Board of Education. Plaintiff was an employee as defined by the <u>New Jersey Conscientious Employee Protection Act</u>, N.J.S.A.§34:19-2(b).
- 3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant, Jersey City Board of Education; was the employer of Plaintiff, Youssef Nabil as defined by the New Jersey Conscientious Employer Protection Act, N.J.S.A. § 34:19-2(b). At all times herein mentioned, Defendants John Doe Supervisors 1-10, same being fictitious as the correct names of said supervisory personnel have not as yet been ascertained; were employees of Defendant Jersey City Board of Education as defined by the New Jersey Conscientious Employee Protection Act, N.J.S.A.§34:19-2(a).
- 4. In April of 2014, Plaintiff was approached by supervisors and asked to alter data regarding children failing his math class. They indicated that in order to continue to obtain federal funds that the grades should be inflated. They indicated to Plaintiff that if he did not falsely inflate the grades so as to enable Defendant Jersey City Board of Education to obtain additional funding, he would face problems down the road.

- 5. Defendants Cherlye Richardson-Evans, Monica Patel, Dr. Marcia Lyles, Chris Gadsden and Ellen Ruane were all involved in attempting to get Plaintiff to change the grades.
- 6. Plaintiff strongly believed that such a request was in violation of the law and/or otherwise implicated a policy and practice which the Plaintiff reasonably believed was incompatible with mandated public policy and/or in violation of federal policies regarding allocation of educational funding.
- 7. Resultantly, following Plaintiff's objection to the request and practices which Plaintiff believed were unlawful and/or a violation of mandated public policy and/or in violation of federal policies regarding allocation of educational funding, he was the victim of adverse employment actions.
- 8. The adverse employment actions include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Being denied consideration for future positions or advancement within the Jersey City Board of Education;
  - b. Being subjected to harassment and verbal abuse by supervisory personnel; and
  - c. Otherwise treated in an adverse action and manner.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants aforesaid, jointly and/or severally, sufficient to compensate him for his losses together with cost of suit, attorney fees, punitive damages and such other relief as deemed equitable and just and permitted under the law.

### SECOND COUNT -LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION

1. Plaintiff repeats and restates each and every allegation as contained in the First Count of

the Complaint.

- 2. During the course of his employment with Defendant Jersey City Board of Education,
  Plaintiff, an Arab American, became increasingly exposed to a hostile and offensive work
  environment, which environment persists to the present day.
- 3. In addition to the hostile environment, the Plaintiff has been subjected, during the course of his career with the Jersey City Board of education up to the present date, to open and tacit discrimination. Among such methods employed by the Defendant Jersey City Board of Education and its supervisors at Lincoln High School and the Freshmen Building at Lincoln High School is an arbitrary, antiquated and subjective promotion process that is skewed in terms of routine promotions as well other employment practices.
- 4. The effect of the actions of Defendants to follow non-discriminatory promotional guidelines prevented Arab American teachers like Plaintiff from deserving, well-qualified promotions.
- 5. Specially, the tacit discrimination has impacted Plaintiff on the basis of race and religious beliefs with respect to the terms and conditions of his employment by way of promotions, specialist positions, transfers and the enforcement of rules and regulations for promotion and disciplinary purposes.
- 6. In 2008, Plaintiff began applying for various promotions, transfers and positions offered by Defendant Jersey City Board of Education. Despite his qualifications and prevailing efforts, Plaintiff never received a single desired promotion or transfer.
- 7. Further, as a result of his complaints regarding the lack of promotion or transfer, Plaintiff was retaliated against by the Defendant Jersey City Board of Education on a continuous basis from approximately 2008 through present. Such retaliation includes but is not limited to

not being granted leave to attend his mother's funeral as well as denial for a variety of positions, in one such instance, without having been interviewed for same.

- 8. On or about September 6, 2012, Plaintiff was transferred from the central building Lincoln High School to the Freshman Building of Lincoln High School despite Plaintiff's expertise and experience with more advanced students.
- 9. Plaintiff's transfer was in retaliation for having formally filed grievances and complaints regarding the discrimination he encountered when he made application for transfers and promotions.
- 10. Plaintiff was subjected to hostile environments, including one instance on January 2, 2014 in which Plaintiff was a recipient of a so-called "no fly list" which was forwarded by Francisco Valez, Vice Principal of Lincoln High School. The use of the words "no fly list" is in itself very derogatory and discriminatory to an Arab American like Plaintiff. Further, the use of such terms is evidence of the institutional discrimination practiced against Arab American such as Plaintiff.
- 11. The use of such terms is also patent evidence of discrimination against Plaintiff as an Arab American in the promotional process.
- 12. The transfer to the Freshman Building of Lincoln High School was a substantial demotion as Plaintiff was required to work with freshman students when his work record, education, expertise and experience are with more advanced students.
- 13. Additionally, upon his return from a leave for injury, Plaintiff was written up for sitting during class.
- 14. Plaintiff was also barred from taking leave to attend his mother's funeral in Egypt and thereafter, being barred from taking leave to visit his ailing father in Egypt.

15. As a direct and proximate result of the acts/omissions of Defendants aforesaid, jointly and/or severally by and through its supervisors and/or decision makers, Plaintiff sustained significant missed income opportunities and promotions, emotional distress, injury to his reputation and was otherwise damaged and/or adversely effected in his employment opportunities in violation of the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants aforesaid, jointly and/or severally, sufficient to compensate him for his losses together with cost of suit, attorney fees, punitive damages and such other relief as deemed equitable and just and permitted under the law.

#### THIRD COUNT- VIOLATION OF NEW JERSEY CONSTITUTION

- Plaintiff repeats and restates each and every allegation as contained in the First and Second Counts of the Complaint.
- The actions described above violate Plaintiff's rights under the New Jersey Constitution.See Violation of New Jersey Constitution/New Jersey Civil Rights Act.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants aforesaid, jointly and/or severally, sufficient to compensate him for attorney fees, costs of suit, and other relief as deemed equitable and just and permitted under the New Jersey Civil Rights Act.

#### FOURTH COUNT- INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 1. Plaintiff repeats and restates each and every allegation as contained in the First, Second and Third Counts of the Complaint.
- 2. Defendants knew or should have known that the discriminatory actions of their agents,

servants or employees and the Defendant's failure to remedy the open and tacit hostile environment would cause Plaintiff to suffer serious emotional distress.

3. Despite the reasonable expectation of such emotional distress, the Defendants continued to allow their agents, servants or employees who engaged in openly hostile and racist conduct to remain in the workforce up until the present day.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants aforesaid, jointly and/or severally, sufficient to compensate him for physical, emotional and psychological damages in an amount to be determined by jury.

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues.

#### DEMAND FOR INSURANCE DISCOVERY

Pursuant to R 4:10-2(b) demand is hereby made that you disclose to the undersigned whether there are any insurance agreements or policies under which any person or firm carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment which may be entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

#### CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 1:38-7(b)

I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been redacted from documents now submitted to the court, and will be redacted from all documents submitted in the future in accordance with Rule 1:38-7(b).

#### **DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL**

Pursuant to the provisions of R. 4:25-4, ROBERT M. ANDERSON, ESQ. is designated as trial counsel in the within litigation.

#### **CERTIFICATION AS TO OTHER ACTIONS**

The undersigned hereby certifies that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action or Arbitration proceeding, either now or contemplated.

**ESCANDON, FERNICOLA, ANDERSON & COVELLI** Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: 7/24/14

ROBERT M. ANDERSON, ESQ.

## Appendix XII-B1



# **CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT** (CIS)

FOR USE BY CLI	ERK'S OFFICE ONLY
PAYMENT TYPE:	□CK □CG □CA
Снд/ск но.	
AMOUNT:	
OVERPAYMENT:	
BATCH NUMBER:	

	Civil Part pleadings (not motions) under Rule 4:5-1							AMOUNT:			
Pleading will be rejected for filing, under Rule 1:5-6(c),						i(c),	OVERPAYMENT:				
*CODODODO	if information above the black bar is not completed						ed	BATCH N	IMBER:		
or attorney's signature is not affixed								BATOTING	OMDER.		
ATTORNEY/PRO SE NAME					Commence of the second				TY OF VENUE		
ROBERT M. AN	DERSC	N, ESQ.			(732) 663-	1920		Monmouth			
FIRM NAME (if applicable) ESCANDON FERNICOLA ANDERSON & COVELLI					I			DOCKE	-	R (when ava	<b>1</b>
OFFICE ADDRESS 301 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3 ALLENHURST, NEW JERSEY 07711							DOCUM	MENT TYPE	E		
ALLENTOROT	11211 0.	INOLI OIT						JURY DEMAND X YES NO			
NAME OF PARTY (e.g., John Doe, Plaintiff)  Nabil Youssef  CAPTION  Youssef v				ON sef v. Jerse	y City Bo	ard of E	ducatio	n, et al.			
CASE TYPE NUMBER (See reverse side for listing) RELATED? IS THIS A PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE CASE? YES NO							⊠ NO				
616 and 618	616 and 618  One of the property of the proper						BLE CASE LAW				
RELATED CASES P	ENDING	?		IF YES	S, LIST DOCKE	ET NUMBE	RS				
☐ YES		☑ No									
	DO YOU ANTICIPATE ADDING ANY PARTIES (arising out of same transaction or occurrence)?										
(arising out of same		on or occurrenc		NAME	OF DEFENDA	NT'S PRIM	MARY INS	URANCE	COMPAN	Y (if known)	☐ NONE
(arising out of same	transactio	on or occurrenc	e)?								☐ None
(arising out of same ☐ YES THE	transaction	NO NATION PR	e)?	ON TH	IS FORM C	ANNOT E	BE INTF	RODUC	ED INTO		☐ None
(arising out of same ☐ YES THE CASE CHARACTER	transaction INFORM	ON OF OCCUFFENCE  NO  NATION PROSE	e)? OVIDED ES OF DETI	ON TH	IS FORM C	ANNOT E	B <b>E INTF</b>	RODUC	ED INTO		☐ None
(arising out of same ☐ YES THE	INFORM RISTICS F	NO OR OCCURRENCE  NO  MATION PROPERTY OF PURPOSE  ENT, PAST OR	OVIDED S OF DETI	ON TH	IIS FORM CANG IF CASE IS AN STHAT RELATOYER/EMPLOYER	ANNOT E APPROPR TIONSHIP:	B <b>E INTF</b> IATE FOF	RODUC R MEDIAT	ED INTO		□ None ☑ Unknown
(arising out of same  ☐ YES  THE  CASE CHARACTER  DO PARTIES HAVE  RECURRENT RELA  X YES  DOES THE STATUT	INFORM RISTICS F A CURR ATIONSHI	IN OF OCCURRENCE  NO  NATION PROSE  FOR PURPOSE  ENT, PAST OR  IP?  NO  RNING THIS C	OVIDED ES OF DETI	ON THERMINING IF YES, I.  EMPL FAMILIATION FOR THE	IIS FORM CANG IF CASE IS AN STHAT RELATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE C	ANNOT E APPROPR TIONSHIP: E	BE INTE	RODUC R MEDIAT END/NEIGH BINESS BING PAF	ED INTO	OTHER	□ NONE □ UNKNOWN □ E.  (explain)
(arising out of same  ☐ YES  THE  CASE CHARACTER  DO PARTIES HAVE  RECURRENT RELA	INFORM RISTICS F A CURR ATIONSHI TE GOVE	NO NO OCCURRENCE NO	OVIDED ES OF DETI	ON THERMINING IF YES, I.  EMPL FAMILIATION FOR THE	IIS FORM CANG IF CASE IS AN STHAT RELATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE C	ANNOT E APPROPR TIONSHIP: E	BE INTE	RODUC R MEDIAT END/NEIGH BINESS BING PAF	ED INTO	OTHER	□ NONE □ UNKNOWN □ E.  (explain)
(arising out of same  YES  THE  CASE CHARACTER  DO PARTIES HAVE RECURRENT RELA  YES  DOES THE STATU	INFORM RISTICS F A CURR ATIONSHI TE GOVE	NO NO OCCURRENCE NO	OVIDED ES OF DETI	ON THERMINING IF YES, I.  EMPL FAMILIATION FOR THE	IIS FORM CANG IF CASE IS AN STHAT RELATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE C	ANNOT E APPROPR FIONSHIP: E F FEES BY CTERISTICS	BEINTE  IATE FOF  FRIE  BUS  THE LOS	RODUC R MEDIAT END/NEIGH BINESS BING PAF	ED INTO	OTHER (	□ NONE □ UNKNOWN □ UNKNOWN □ (explain) □ NO □ NAGEMENT OR
(arising out of same  ☐ YES  THE  CASE CHARACTEF  DO PARTIES HAVE  RECURRENT RELA  ☑ YES  DOES THE STATUT  USE THIS SPACE TACCELERATED DIS	INFORM RISTICS F A CURR ATIONSHI TE GOVE	NO NO OCCURRENCE NO	OVIDED  S OF DETI	ON THE ERMINING YES, I MADE FAMILY TO PECIAL CO	IIS FORM CASE IS AS IT AT RELATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ANNOT E APPROPR FIONSHIP: E F FEES BY CTERISTICS	BEINTE  IATE FOF  FRIE  BUS  THE LOS	RODUC R MEDIAT END/NEIGH BINESS BING PAF	ED INTO	OTHER	□ NONE □ UNKNOWN □ UNKNOWN □ (explain) □ NO □ NAGEMENT OR
(arising out of same	INFORM RISTICS F A CURR ATIONSHI TE GOVE TO ALERT SPOSITION	IN OF OCCURRENCE NO MATION PROSE FOR PURPOSE ENT, PAST OR IP? NO RNING THIS C THE COURT ON	OVIDED  S OF DETI	ON THE ERMINING YES, I MADE FAMILY TO PECIAL CO	IIS FORM CASE IS AS IT AT RELATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ANNOT E  APPROPRIONSHIP:  E  F FEES BY  CTERISTIC:	BEINTE  IATE FOF  FRIE  BUS  THE LOS	RODUC R MEDIAT END/NEIGH SINESS SING PAF MAY WAR	ED INTO	OTHER (	□ NONE □ UNKNOWN □ UNKNOWN □ (explain) □ NO □ NAGEMENT OR
(arising out of same	INFORMATIONSHITE GOVE TO ALERT SPOSITION R YOUR CL	IENT NEED ANY  NO INDER BE NEEDED?  DO NO INDER SE NO	OVIDED S OF DETI	ON THE ERMINING FYES, I SEMPLE FAMILY FAMILY FAMILY FAMILY FAMILY FOR FECIAL COMMO	IS FORM C.  IS IF CASE IS A S THAT RELAT OYER/EMPLOYER LIAL  R PAYMENT OF CASE CHARACT  DIDATIONS?	ANNOT E  APPROPR FIONSHIP: E  F FEES BY  CTERISTICS  IF YES, FO  from doc	BEINTE  IATE FOF  FRIE  BUS  THE LOS  S THAT M  LEASE IDEN  DR WHAT L	RODUC R MEDIAT END/NEIGH E	ED INTO	O EVIDENO  OTHER (  YES  IVIDUAL MA	□ NONE □ UNKNOWN  CE.  (explain) □ NO □ NAGEMENT OR

# CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT

(CIS)
Use for initial pleadings (not motions) under *Rule* 4:5-1

0405	TVDEC :	OL	no i-	annonriate space on the revers	( ahis as						
CASE	E TYPES (Choose one and enter number of case type in appropriate space on the reverse side.)										
	Track I - 150 days' discovery										
	151	151 NAME CHANGE 175 FORFEITURE									
	303	TENANCY									
	302 399	REAL PROPERTY (other than Tenancy, Contract,	Cond	emnation, Complex Commercial or Cons	truction)						
	502	BOOK ACCOUNT (debt collection matters only)									
	505	OTHER INSURANCE CLAIM (including declarator)	y judg	ment actions)							
	506	PIP COVERAGE									
	510	UM or UIM CLAIM (coverage issues only)									
		ACTION ON NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT									
		LEMON LAW SUMMARY ACTION									
		OPEN PUBLIC RECORDS ACT (summary action)									
		OTHER (briefly describe nature of action)									
	Track II	- 300 days' discovery									
		CONSTRUCTION									
	509	EMPLOYMENT (other than CEPA or LAD)									
	599	CONTRACT/COMMERCIAL TRANSACTION	1								
	603N	AUTO NEGLIGENCE - PERSONAL INJURY (non	-verba	ai threshold)							
		AUTO NEGLIGENCE – PERSONAL INJURY (verl	Jai lill	eanoluj							
	610 610	PERSONAL INJURY AUTO NEGLIGENCE – PROPERTY DAMAGE									
	621	UM or UIM CLAIM (includes bodily injury)									
		TORT - OTHER									
	Track III	- 450 days' discovery									
		CIVIL RIGHTS									
		CONDEMNATION									
		ASSAULT AND BATTERY									
		MEDICAL MALPRACTICE									
	606 607	PRODUCT LIABILITY PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE									
		TOXIC TORT									
	സെ	DEEAMATION									
	616	WHISTLEBLOWER / CONSCIENTIOUS EMPLO	YEE P	PROTECTION ACT (CEPA) CASES							
	617	INVERSE CONDEMNATION									
		LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION (LAD) CASES		- / 450 days 1 db							
	Track IV	- Active Case Management by Individual	Judg	je / 450 days: discovery							
		ENVIRONMENTAL/ENVIRONMENTAL COVERA	GE L	HIGATION							
		MT. LAUREL COMPLEX COMMERCIAL									
		COMPLEX CONSTRUCTION									
		INSURANCE FRAUD									
	620	FALSE CLAIMS ACT									
	701	ACTIONS IN LIEU OF PREROGATIVE WRITS									
	Multicou	unty Litigation (Track IV)	200	PRUDENTIAL TORT LITIGATION							
				REGLAN							
		ACCUTANE/ISOTRETINOIN RISPERDAL/SEROQUEL/ZYPREXA	290	POMPTON LAKES ENVIRONMENTAL	LITIGATION						
		ZOMETA/AREDIA	291	PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE							
	279	GADOLINIUM		PELVIC MESH/BARD	N.I						
		2	293	DEPUY ASR HIP IMPLANT LITIGATIO	IN MATRIY						
		FOSAMAX	295	ALLODERM REGENERATIVE TISSUE STRYKER REJUVENATE/ABG II MOD	ULAR HIP STEM COMPONENTS						
		NUVARING  STOVKED TRIDENT HIP IMPLANTS	297	MIRENA CONTRACEPTIVE DEVICE							
		S STRYKER TRIDENT HIP IMPLANTS S LEVAQUIN		ASBESTOS							
		YAZ/YASMIN/OCELLA		PROPECIA							
	If you be	elieve this case requires a track other than that p	rovid	ed above, please indicate the reason (	on Side 1,						
	-	pace under "Case Characteristics.			☐ Title 50						
	Ple	ease check off each applicable categor	У	☐ Putative Class Action	☐ Title 59						

REGEIVED
AUG 18 2014
ESCANDON, FERNICOL.\

SCANNED